

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Serial No. 10/714,280

**REMARKS**

Examiner Vanaman has rejected the originally filed claims 4, 19 and 20 of the present application under 35 U.S.C. § 112. For the reasons which follow, applicant respectfully traverses this rejection of the Examiner. The applicant does, however, wish to extend appreciation to Examiner Vanaman for the quite detailed review of the claims of the present invention leading to the finding of patentable subject matter of the originally filed claims of the present application and an indication of the allowance of claims 1-3 and 5-18 and of the allowability of claims 4, 19 and 20 if rewritten.

Claims 4 and 19 have been amended in a manner suggested by Examiner Vanaman to overcome the rejection under § 112. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The Examiner has cited the United States and foreign patents listed in NOTICE OF REFERENCES CITED as A-J, N and O. By the lack of application of these references and others like them within the classes or subclasses searched, the Examiner apparently recognizes the clear patentability of the present invention over any of these references.

With the present Amendment, it is believed that the patent application is in condition for allowance. Such favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard B. Stoffels



Dated: December 8, 2005

Alan D. Kamrath, Reg. No. 28,227  
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A.  
Attorneys for Applicant  
900 Second Avenue South  
Suite 820 International Centre  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
Tel: (612) 392-7306  
Fax: (612) 349-6556